

NEAR-DEATH EXPERIENCES IN NON-LIFE-THREATENING EVENTS AND COMA OF DIFFERENT ETIOLOGIES



Vanessa Charland-Verville¹, Jean-Pierre Jourdan², Marie Thonnard¹, Didier Ledoux¹, Anne-Francoise Donneau³, Etienne Quertemont⁴, Zulay Lugo¹ and Steven Laureys¹

¹Coma Science Group, Cyclotron Research Center, University and University Hospital of Liège, Liège, Belgium
²International Association For Near Death Studies, Oraison, France
³Department of Public Health, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium
⁴Cognitive and Behavioral Neurosciences Center, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium



Objectives:

Near death experiences (NDEs) are increasingly being reported as a clearly identifiable physiological and psychological reality of clinical significance.

Empirical studies of NDEs have mostly been conducted in patients with life threatening situations such as cardiac arrest [1-5] or (albeit more rarely) in patients with severe traumatic brain injury[6].

To the best of our knowledge, no study has formally compared the influence of the cause of coma to the intensity or content of the NDE.

Using the Greyson NDE scale [7], the present retrospective study aimed at: (1) exploring the NDE intensity and content in “NDE-like” accounts following non-life-threatening events versus “real NDE” following coma; (2) comparing the “real NDE” characteristics according to the etiology of the brain damage (anoxic, traumatic or other) and; (3) comparing our retrospectively obtained data in anoxic coma to historical previously published prospectively collected post-anoxic NDEs.

Methods:

All participants filled a questionnaire including items about demographic (age at NDE, gender) and clinical data (time since NDE, presence of life threatening event, presence of acute coma (i.e., a period of unconsciousness > 1 h; [8]), etiology of coma (anoxic/traumatic/other) and a standardized characterization of the NDE using the Greyson NDE scale [7].

We also compared our retrospectively acquired data in anoxic coma with historical data from the published literature on prospective post-anoxic studies using the Greyson NDE scale.

A Pearson’s chi square test with contingency tables was performed to assess for possible discrepancies between the reported features frequencies according to the coma etiology.

Finally, our retrospective data in anoxic coma were compared with a historical dataset of prospective data taken from the published literature on NDE after anoxic coma [1-5].

Conclusion & discussion:

It appears that “real NDEs” after coma of different etiologies are similar to “NDE-like” experiences occurring after non-life threatening events and that subjects reporting NDEs retrospectively tend to have experienced a different content compared to the prospective experiencers.

In line with our findings [9], NDE research might benefit from the introduction of a new terminology to account for “NDE-like” experiences. In addition to the use of closed NDE questionnaires, which only leave restricted choices for describing the experience, future studies should employ statistical examination of freely expressed NDEs narratives using automated user-independent qualitative analyses of their content, taking into account the clinical data and study design.

REFERENCES

- Greyson, B. (2003). Incidence and correlates of near-death experiences in a cardiac care unit. *Gen. Hosp. Psychiatry*, 25(4), 269-276.
- Hoepner, R., Labudda, K., May, T. W., Schoendienst, M., Woermann, F. G., Bien, C. G., and Brandt, C. (2013). Ictal autoscopic phenomena and near death experiences: a study of five patients with ictal autoscopies. *J. Neurol.*, 260(3), 742-749. doi:10.1007/s00415-012-6689-x
- Parnia, S., Waller, D. G., Yeates, R., and Fenwick, P. (2001). A qualitative and quantitative study of the incidence, features and aetiology of near death experiences in cardiac arrest survivors. *Resuscitation*, 48(2), 149-156.
- Schwaininger, J., Eisenberg, P., Schechtman, K., and Weiss, A. (2002). A prospective analysis of near-death experiences in cardiac arrest patients. *J. Near Death Stud.*, 20(4), 215-232. doi:10.1023/a:1015258818660
- van Lommel, P., van Wees, R., Meyers, V., and Elfferich, I. (2001). Near-death experience in survivors of cardiac arrest: a prospective study in the Netherlands. *Lancet*, 358(9298), 2039-2045. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(01)07100-8
- Hou, Y., Huang, Q., Prakash, R., and Chaudhury, S. (2013). Infrequent near death experiences in severe brain injury survivors - A quantitative and qualitative study. *Ann. Indian Acad. Neurol.*, 16(1), 75-81. doi:10.4103/0972-2327.107715
- Greyson, B. (1983). The near-death experience scale. Construction, reliability, and validity. *J. Nerv Ment Dis.*, 171(6), 369-375.
- Posner, J. B., Saper, C. B., and Plum, F. (2007) *Diagnosis of stupor and coma*. New-York: Oxford University Press.
- Facco, E., and Agrillo, C. (2012). Near-Death-Like Experiences without Life-Threatening Conditions or Brain Disorders: A Hypothesis from a Case Report. *Front. Psychol.*, 3490. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00490

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS & SPONSORS

The authors thank Guy Vander Linden from the IANDS Flanders (www.bijnadoodovering.be) for their help in recruiting NDE testimonies. This research was supported by the European Commission (European ICT Programme Projects FP7-247919 DECODER), the Belgian National Funds for Scientific Research (FNRS), the Tinnitus Prize 2011 (FNRS 9.4501.12), FEDER structural fund RADIOMED-930549, Fonds Léon Fredericq, the James McDonnell Foundation, the French Speaking Community Concerted Research Action and the University and University Hospital of Liège.

Results:

From our 190 reports who met the criteria for NDE (i.e., Greyson NDE scale total score >7/32), intensity (i.e., NDE scale total score) and content (i.e., Greyson NDE scale features) did not differ between “NDE-like” (n=50) and “real NDE” (n=140) groups, nor within the “real NDE” group depending on the cause of coma (anoxic/traumatic/other; see table below).

The most frequently reported feature was peacefulness (89-93%). Only 2 patients (1%) recounted a negative experience.

NDE Scale features	“NDE-like” n=50 (%)	“Real NDE” n=140 (%)	p	“Real NDE” etiologies			p
				Anoxia n=45 (%)	Trauma n=30 (%)	Other n=65 (%)	
“Did you have a feeling of peace or pleasantness?”	45 (90)	127 (91)	0.88	40 (89)	28 (93)	59 (91)	0.81
“Did you feel separated from your body?”	37 (74)	111 (79)	0.44	36 (80)	24 (80)	51 (79)	0.98
“Did you see, or feel surrounded by, a brilliant light?”	42 (84)	106 (76)	0.23	32 (71)	20 (67)	54 (83)	0.15
“Did time seem to speed up or slow down?”	41 (82)	105 (75)	0.31	35 (78)	24 (80)	46 (71)	0.55
“Did you seem to enter some other, unearthly world?”	35 (70)	101 (72)	0.77	33 (73)	21 (70)	47 (72)	0.95
“Did you have a feeling of joy?”	37 (74)	98 (70)	0.59	32 (71)	18 (60)	48 (74)	0.38
“Did you feel a sense of harmony or unity with the universe?”	39 (78)	96 (69)	0.21	33 (73)	21 (70)	42 (65)	0.61
“Did you come to a border or point of no return?”	31 (62)	86 (61)	0.94	22 (49)	20 (67)	44 (68)	0.11
“Were your senses more vivid than usual?”	34 (68)	84 (60)	0.32	25 (56)	16 (53)	43 (66)	0.38
“Did you suddenly seem to understand everything?”	25 (50)	76 (54)	0.60	26 (58)	20 (67)	30 (46)	0.15
“Did you seem to encounter a mystical being or presence, or hear an unidentifiable voice?”	29 (58)	71 (51)	0.38	20 (44)	12 (40)	39 (60)	0.12
“Were your thoughts speeded up?”	20 (40)	62 (44)	0.60	23 (51)	15 (50)	24 (37)	0.26
“Did you see deceased or religious spirits?”	17 (34)	54 (39)	0.57	12 (27)	9 (30)	33 (51)	0.063
“Did you seem to be aware of things going on elsewhere, as if by ESP?”	14 (28)	47 (34)	0.50	10 (22)	9 (30)	28 (43)	0.067
“Did scenes from your past come back to you?”	9 (18)	37 (26)	0.23	11 (24)	11 (37)	15 (23)	0.35
“Did scenes from the future come to you?”	9 (18)	26 (19)	0.93	8 (18)	5 (17)	13 (20)	0.92
Total score (mean±SD, range)	17 ± 7 7 - 30	16 ± 6 7 - 30	0.10	15 ± 6 7 - 28	16 ± 6 7 - 26	16 ± 6 7 - 30	0.29

The overall NDE core features’ frequencies were higher in our retrospective anoxic cohort when compared to historical published prospective data (see figure below).

